

1st February 1923] [Mr. T. M. Narasimhacharlu]

Clause 14—cont.

"Sir, my learned friend (Mr. Ramaswami Mudaliyar) accused me of bringing up this matter time and again. My reply simply is: Because you bring that matter I was forced to say a few words now and then in reply lest our silence should be construed as approval of what you say."

The motion was put to the House and lost.

The following amendments were not moved and were therefore deemed to have been withdrawn:—

Rao Bahadur A. S. KRISHNA RAO PANTULU:—

97. *In item (1) omit the words 'according to the principle of proportionate representation by means of the single transferable vote.'*

Rao Sahib U. RAMA RAO:—

98. *In item (1) omit all the words beginning with the words 'according to the principle of'*

Diwan Bahadur M. RAMACHANDRA RAO PANTULU:—

99. *In item (1) omit the words 'according to the principle of proportionate representation by means of the single transferable vote.'*

Mr. B. MUNISWAMI NAYUDU:—

99-A. *Add at the end of item (1) the following:—*

*'Of which number not less than one-half should be reserved for Non-Brahmans, including Muhammadans and Christians.'*

The Council adjourned at 4 minutes to 5 p.m. to meet again at 11 a.m. the next day.

L. D. SWAMIKANNU,

Secretary to the Legislative Council.

APPENDIX B.

[Page 1494 supra.]

*Proceedings of the nineteenth meeting for 1922-23 of the Standing Finance Committee of the Madras Legislative Council held at Fort St. George on Thursday, the 18th January 1923.*

P R E S E N T :

- (1) The Hon'ble Sir CHARLES TODHUNTER, K.C.S.I. (Chairman).
- (2) M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur C. NATESA MUDALIYAR Avargal.
- (3) " Rao Bahadur O. TANIKACHALA CHETTIYAR Avargal.
- (4) " Rao Bahadur T. A. RAMALINGA CHETTIYAR Avargal.
- (5) " A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR Avargal.
- (6) Khan Bahadur MUHAMMAD USMAN SAHIB.
- (7) Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN.
- (8) Mr. C. E. WOOD.

(Mr. A. M. MacDOUGALL did not attend.)

[1st February 1923]

*Read* proposal to provide an electric installation at the Vizagapatam hospital out of a donation of Rs. 35,000 by Mr. B. Borooah of Messrs. B. Borooah & Co., Calcutta). The donation had been credited to receipts; and it is proposed to incur an expenditure of Rs. 12,000 in 1922-23 and to provide for the balance in the budget for 1923-24.

The Committee recommended acceptance of the proposal (class II).

2. *Read* scheme for State loans to Co-operative building societies.

The Committee recommended the entry of a sum of 5 lakhs in the loans budget for 1923-24 and approved the proposed rates of interest, namely 6½ per cent, ordinary and 10 per cent, penal.

3. *Read* proposals for the purchase of hydrogenation plant for experimenting at the soap factory. The expenditure involved is Rs. 40,000 non-recurring and Rs. 75,000 recurring. The Development Department proposed a provision of Rs. 40,000 for non-recurring expenditure only, in the budget for 1923-24.

The Committee recommended acceptance of the proposal, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

4. *Read* proposals for opening of a sugarcane station near Anakapalle. The expenditure is roughly 81 lakh non-recurring and 21 lakh recurring.

The Committee recommended acceptance of the proposal, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

5. *Read* proposals for the provision in the budget for 1923-24 of Rs. 26,000 for toy-making industry.

The Committee recommended acceptance of the proposal, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

6. *Read* proposals relating to Ganjam tank stocking scheme (estimated to cost Rs. 9,000 non-recurring and Rs. 11,400 recurring).

The Committee did not recommend the scheme for adoption (class IV).

7. *Read* proposals for the supply of a complete copy of the issues of the *Fort St. George Gazette* to the non-official members of the Legislative Council (estimated cost is Rs. 2,500 per annum).

The majority of the Committee considered that the expense was not justified at present.

8. *Read* proposals for extensions to the new block at the Government College, Mangalore. [Provision recommended by the Director of Public Instruction in the budget for 1923-24 is Rs. 20,000.]

The Committee observed that the scheme did not appear to have reached the stage for the provision of funds.

9. *Read* scheme for the provision of an electric lift in the General Hospital, Madras. (Cost Rs. 16,900.)

The Committee recommended the scheme as one for which funds should be provided in the budget for 1923-24 (class I).

10. *Read* proposals for special equipment for the Headquarter Hospital, Vizagapatam. (Budget provision proposed for 1923-24 is Rs. 54,152.)

The Committee recommended the proposal as one for which funds should be provided in the budget for 1923-24 (class I).



1st February 1923]

11. *Read* proposals for additional staff for the headquarter hospital, Vizagapatam. (Budget provision proposed for 1923-1924 is Rs. 3,786.)

The Committee recommended the proposal as one for which funds should be provided in the budget for 1923-1924 (class I).

12. *Read* proposals for the construction of a rest-house at Nowrangpur (Agency). (Estimated cost Rs. 10,000 and provisions proposed in the budget for 1923-1924 is Rs. 5,000.)

The Committee recommended acceptance of the proposal, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

13. *Read* proposals for the construction of buildings for dispensaries at Cherla and Chintur, Koya division (Agency). (Budget provision proposed for the year 1923-1924 is Rs. 19,700.)

The committee recommended acceptance of the proposal, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

14. *Read* proposals for the construction of an inspection bungalow at Mangalore. (Estimated cost Rs. 19,200 and the proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 is Rs. 10,000.)

The Committee did not recommend the proposal for adoption (class IV).

15. *Read* scheme for improving the bridges over the Mukkamala lock and weir, Godavari delta system, Eastern division. (Proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 for works is Rs. 10,000.)

The Committee recommended the scheme as one for which funds should be provided in the budget for 1923-1924 (class I).

16. *Read* proposal for the diversion of the Nallamada drain, an affluent of the Tungabhadra drain, Kistna delta system, Western division. (Total estimated cost 7.35 lakhs and proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 'works' is 50 lakh.)

The Committee recommended that provision should be entered under class II. (Provision to be made in the budget for 1923-1924, if possible.)

17. *Read* scheme for extending the road dam on the right bank of the Vellar Rajan Voikal, Shatiatope anicut system. (Estimated cost is Rupees 20,500 and budget provision proposed for 1923-1924 for 'works' is Rs. 3,000.)

The Committee recommended that provision should be entered under class II. (Provision to be made in the budget for 1923-1924, if possible.)

18. *Read* scheme relating to the improvement to the lower reaches of the Cauvery from the infall of the Ayyavayyanar to the sea—Cauvery delta system. [Total estimate is 2.63 lakhs and proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 is 50 lakh.]

The Committee recommended that provision should be entered under class II. (Provision to be made in the budget for 1923-1924, if possible.)

19. *Read* proposals relating to the budget provision of Rs. 66,164 for 'works', for Irrigation works—Productive—Minor works costing less than Rs. 10,000 each.

The Committee recommended that provision should be entered under class II. (Provision to be made in the budget for 1923-1924, if possible.)

20. *Read* proposals relating to the budget provision of Rs. 18,340 for 'works' for Irrigation works—Unproductive—Minor works costing less than Rs. 10,000 each.

[1st February 1923]

The Committee recommended that provision should be entered under class II. (Provision to be made in the budget for 1923-1924, if possible.)

21. *Read* scheme for improvements to the Khorosaghi Ghai of Mangalapore, Goomsur taluk, Ganjam district. (Estimated cost Rs. 17,400, proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 Rs. 10,000.)

The Committee recommended the scheme as one for which funds should be provided in the budget for 1923-1924 (class I) and would advise the completion of the work within the year 1923-1924, if possible. This would involve budget provision for the year 1923-1924 for the entire cost of the work.

22. *Read* scheme for restoring the Jammai cheruvu of Makkanavarigudem (estimated cost Rs. 43,000, proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 Rs. 10,000).

The Committee recommended the scheme as one for which funds should be provided in the budget for 1923-1924 (class I) and would advise the completion of the work within the year 1923-1924, if possible. This would involve the budget for the year 1923-1924 provision for the entire cost of the work.

23. *Read* scheme for restoring the Ukkaram tank (estimated cost Rs. 22,000 and the proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 is Rs. 10,000).

The Committee recommended the scheme as one for which funds should be provided in the budget for 1923-1924 (Class I). The committee would advise the completion of the work within the year 1923-1924, if possible, and the entry of budget provision for the entire cost of the work in the year 1923-1924.

24. *Read* scheme for improvements to the channel from sluice No. 5 of Tenneri tank, Conjeeveram taluk, Chingleput district (estimated cost Rs. 16 lakh, proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 Rs. 10 lakh).

The Committee recommended that provision should be entered under class II (provision to be made in the budget for 1923-1924, if possible).

25. *Read* scheme for improvements to the Chinna Sellangal odai, Villupuram taluk, South Arcot district (estimate Rs. 10,500, proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 Rs. 6,000).

The Committee recommended the scheme as one for which funds should be provided in the budget for 1923-1924 (class I). The Committee would advise the completion of the work within the year 1923-1924, if possible, and the entry of budget provision for the entire cost of the work.

26. *Read* scheme for the improvement to the Thottiem Chinna voikal, Trichinopoly district (estimate Rs. 29 lakh and the proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 Rs. 14 lakh).

The Committee recommended acceptance of the scheme, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

27. *Read* scheme for re-slucicing the Pugalur channel, Trichinopoly district (estimate Rs. 16 lakh, proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 Rs. 08 lakh).

The Committee recommended acceptance of the scheme, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

28. *Read* scheme for grants to panchayats for water-supply (proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 Rs. 50,000).



1st February 1923]

The Committee are unable to recommend the creation of this fresh agency for spending money among other things on sinking wells for Adidraidas.

29. *Read* again the scheme relating to the appointment of two Stationery Inspectors on Rs. 150 each per mensem temporarily for one year.

The Committee recommended the scheme as a temporary measure for one year, budget provision for travelling allowance being added (class I).

30. *Read* again scheme for improvements to the Vellore hospital. The total estimated cost originally proposed was Rs. 82,500. This was subsequently reduced to Rs. 51,126. Proposed budget provision for 1923-1924 is Rs. 30,000.

The Committee recommended that the scheme should be entered under class II (provision to be made in the budget for 1923-1924, if possible) and would advise the completion of the work within the year 1923-1924, if the entire cost can be provided for.

31. *Read* scheme for the appointment of a Deputy Superintendent at the Government Press on Rs. 500—20—600 in place of an Assistant Superintendent on Rs. 300—20—400.

The Committee would recommend that an advertisement be inserted in the local papers for an officer on the salary of an Assistant Superintendent.

32. *Read* scheme for additions to the cadre of the Upper Subordinate Agricultural section in the Agricultural Department (extra cost in 1923-1924 Rs. 25,500).

The Committee did not recommend the scheme for adoption (class IV).

33. *Read* proposals for provision in the budget estimates for 1923-1924 for loans under the State Aid to Industries Act, 1922 (provision proposed by the Development Department Rs. 13 lakhs).

The Committee recommended that a provision of Rs. 10 lakhs should be entered in the budget for 1923-1924 under class I.

34. *Read* proposals for the establishment of Central and Demonstration farms (Sericulture) (estimated cost Rs. 27,600 non-recurring and Rs. 9,095 recurring).

The Committee recommended acceptance of the proposal, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

35. *Read* scheme for the deputation of medical officers to England for training in the treatment of mental diseases. (Provision proposed by Local Self-Government department, Rs. 16,000, for two officers.)

The Committee recommended that a provision of Rs. 8,000 should be made in the budget for 1923-1924 (class I) and a sum of Rs. 8,000 should be entered under class II. (Provision to be made in the budget for 1923-1924, if possible).

36. *Read* scheme for the improvement of the dairy cattle in the Madras City. (Estimated cost, Rs. 15,700 non-recurring and Rs. 4,000 recurring.)

The Committee recommended that provision should be entered in the budget for 1923-1924 (class I), but that the cost of the sheds should be reduced to Rs. 8,000.

37. *Read* scheme for the construction of a combined court-house for the Sub-Judge, Calicut, and the District Munsif, Vayitri. (Proposed budget provision for 1923-1924, Rs. 30,000).

[1st February 1923]

The Committee recommended acceptance of the scheme, but did not regard it as urgent (class III.)

38. *Read* scheme for the provision of additional accommodation in the Sub-Jail, Jeypore. (Total cost, Rs. 26,200.)

The Committee recommended the scheme as one for which funds should be provided in the budget for 1923-1924 (class I).

39. *Read* scheme for the improvement of the Cooum river—construction of the Harbour, Cooum canal. (Total estimated cost, Rs. 14 lakhs.)

The scheme was discussed and postponed for consideration at a subsequent meeting.

40. *Read* scheme for improvements to the Vizeswaram under sluices (Godavari delta system). (Estimated cost, 1.15 lakhs, and proposed budget provision for 1923-1924, 50 lakh.)

The Committee recommended that provision should be entered under class II. (Provision to be made in the budget for 1923-1924, if possible.)

41. *Read* schemes for improvements to the Red Hills tank. (Estimated cost, Rs. 71,700, and proposed budget provision for 1923-1924, Rs. 21,000.)

The Committee recommended that on the understanding that the Corporation pay one-half, provision should be entered in the budget for 1923-1924 (class I) and would advise the completion of the work within the year if possible.

42. *Read* again proposals for the installation of a refrigerating plant at the Agricultural College. (Provision proposed for 1923-1924, Rs. 19,000.)

The Committee recommended acceptance of the proposal, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

43. *Read* again proposals relating to the acquisition of land for the Calicut hospital. (Total estimate, Rs. 61,300.)

The Committee recommended acceptance of the proposal, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

44. *Read* scheme for the provision of a cast-iron tank and pumping house for Ophthalmic hospital. (Budget provision proposed, Rs. 23,790.)

The Committee would suggest that enquiry be made as to whether a cheaper scheme could not be devised, for instance, by improving the pumping arrangements and dispensing with additional tanks.

45. The Committee recommended the following proposals for supplementary demands :—

	Amount of Rs.
(1) "22. General Administration—Secretariat headquarters establishments" on account of temporary Assistant Secretary for Local Self-Government Department . . . . .	1,510
(2) "22. General Administration—Secretariat and headquarters establishments—Civil Secretariats" on account of temporary Assistant Secretary in the Law Department . . . . .	2,280
(3) * "24. Administration of Justice" on account of revision of salaries of non-gazetted establishments in the High Court . . . . .	12,000

\* [NOTE.—This was accepted by a majority.]



1st February 1923]

46. The following papers were placed on the table :—

G.O. No. 2498, L. & M., dated 14th December 1922, and G.O. No. 2611, L. & M., dated 23rd December 1922, sanctioning the extension of the period of office of the Registrar-General of Panchayats and his staff for one year and providing funds to meet the expenditure in 1922-1923.

FORT ST. GEORGE,  
22nd January 1923.

C. G. TODHUNTER.

APPENDIX C.

[Page 1494 supra.]

*Proceedings of the twentieth meeting for 1922-1923 of the Standing Finance Committee of the Madras Legislative Council held at Fort St. George on Friday, the 19th January 1923.*

P R E S E N T :

- (1) The Hon'ble Sir CHARLES TODHUNTER, K.C.S.I. (Chairman).
- (2) M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur C. NATESA MUDALIYAR Avargal.
- (3) " Rao Bahadur T. A. RAMALINGA CHETTIYAR Avargal.
- (4) " A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR Avargal.
- (5) Khan Bahadur MUHAMMAD USMAN SABIB.
- (6) Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN.
- (7) Mr. C. E. WOOD.

(Messrs. O. TANIKACHALA CHETTIYAR and A. M. MACDOUGALL did not attend.)

The Committee scrutinized the budget estimates for 1923-24 (Part I) under the following heads :—

9. Registration.
43. Famine relief and Insurance.
45. Superannuation allowances and Pensions.
6. Excise.
24. Administration of justice.

9. *Registration.*—The Committee recommended that no provision need be made in the budget for 1923-24 for Inspector of Registration offices.

45. *Superannuation allowances and Pensions.*—The Committee recommended that the question be examined whether the budget provision for 1923-24 of 3.50 lakhs for 'Commuted value of pensions' could not be split up and divided between gazetted and non-gazetted officers.

6. *Excise.*—The Committee would recommend that the Retrenchment Secretary should examine the question of the number of Deputy and Assistant Commissioners.

24. *Administration of justice.*—The Committee accepted the proposal to include a provision of Rs. 32,000 in the budget for 1923-24 towards making permanent the existing temporary establishments in four sub-courts and nine District Munsifs' courts which have been created on a permanent basis.

FORT ST. GEORGE,  
22nd January 1923.

C. G. TODHUNTER.